

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/886,700	06/21/2001	Ronald E. Highsmith	H0001321	5968
7:	590 02/12/2002			
Honeywell International Inc.			EXAMINER	
15801 Woods F Colonial Heigh			PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 02/12/2002	J

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/886,700

Applicant(s)

Highsmith et al

Examiner

Alton Pryor

Art Unit 1616

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the	ne cover sheet with the correspondence address
communication.	136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed . ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	
Status 1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 28, 2001	
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action i	s non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exce closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i> (
Disposition of Claims	·
4) 🔀 Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-18</u>	is/are rejected.
7) XI Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u>	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers	
9) \square The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be	
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority docur application from the International Bureau (I *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the ce 	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prior	
Attachment(s)	
	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
7) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 4	Other:

Application/Control Number: 09886700

Art Unit:

Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/01 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) will be maintained for reasons on record and reasons as follows:

Applicant argues that LeClair teaches an emulsion/suspension system requiring water, while the instant invention does not require water, although the solid composition is dispersible in water. Examiner takes the view that the instant claims use the language "comprising" which leaves the instant claims open to the addition of water.

Applicant argues that the examiner is using an impermissible "obvious to try" standard of patentability. Applicant argue that it would have been unobvious to use instant amounts of ingredients. Applicant argues that the limitations of claims 2-6,8-15 are not suggested in the prior art. Claims 2-6,8-15 describe the amounts of ingredients. In a claim to a composition wherein the prior teaches all the limitations of the claim expect instant amounts of ingredients, an applicant must provide data showing unexpected results when employing the instant amounts.

Applicant argues that JP '903 does not teach the composition as a suspension. However, examiner argues that JP '903 teaches the herbicide composition as a flowable solid which is a suspension.

Claims 19,20 are objected to for reason on record.

Application/Control Number: 09886700

Page 3

Art Unit:

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit:

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

2/8/02